Lenett Fellow discovers the secrets of an early Connecticut tavern sign
By R. Ruthie Dibble

Editor’s Note—Each academic year, a second-year student at the Williams College/Clark Art Institute Graduate Program in the History of Art is awarded the Judith M. Lenett Memorial Fellowship in Art Conservation. The fellowship provides the student with the opportunity to pursue an interest in American art through the research and conservation of an American art object. This year’s Lenett Fellow, R. Ruthie Dibble, requested an object that would allow her to explore early-American material and visual culture; an early 19th-century painted tavern sign from the collection of the Connecticut Historical Society was chosen as her project. Ms. Dibble worked under the dual guidance of Sandra Webber, Conservator of Paintings, and Adam Nesbitt, Assistant Conservator of Objects. The project culminates in a public lecture Ms. Dibble will present at the Clark on May 6.

Bristol town history records that an Abel Lewis (1749-1820) opened a tavern at the corner of Maple and Steam Street in Bristol, Connecticut in 1794.1 The son of an early Bristol settler and one of nine brothers, Abel Lewis was a veteran of the Revolutionary War and an active member in the community, which lies some 15 miles southwest of Hartford. Like many adult males in New England communities, Lewis served as a “tythingman,” or tax collector, in addition to his own work.2 With his wife and eight children, Lewis was part of an expanding network of inns that acted as social centers for New England towns and travelers.3 Reflecting the importance of these institutions, each town in Connecticut was required by law to have at least one tavern, and each tavern was required to have a sign advertising the establishment.4 Travelers, who typically covered six to eight miles an hour, could expect shelter and sustenance for themselves and their horses within a comfortable distance at all times during their journey. Villagers gathered in taverns for many reasons beyond imbibing, such as evening social events or to have their portraits painted by itinerant artists.

A circa-1800 tinned sign, quite likely from the Abel Lewis tavern, has been my focus as 2008-2009 Lenett Fellow at WACC. The sign was acquired by the Connecticut Historical Society in 2006, as an addition to that institution’s definitive collection of early American tavern signs.

Both the painted surfaces and the metal substrate of the Lewis sign need conservation. The 22-by-16½-inch sign is made of two sheets of tinned iron, roughly equal in size, that are soldered together horizontally across the center. Side One features the Connecticut State Seal above a central row with a punchbowl, two full glasses of punch, and a full decanter. Below, the words “A. LEWIS’ INN,” entwined by a flowering vine, have been inscribed. Side Two features the eagle of the United States Seal, complete with arrows and an olive branch in each talon. The name of the innkeeper is repeated and surrounded by similar foliage.

In keeping with its status as the emblem of a public establishment, the Lewis sign employs imagery that communicates the role taverns played in post-Revolutionary New England. One side speaks to national community through the Great Seal of the United States, which had been established by Congress in 1782. The other side appeals to local community through the trinity of grape vines on the Connecticut State Seal, a design in use since the mid-17th century. The punch bowl, glasses and decanter advertise the socially welcoming and physically nourishing nature of the establishment. While the imagery is typical of other signs in the Connecticut Historical Society’s collection, certain aspects of the sign raise questions about its production and use.

The sign is smaller than others that were known to have hung outside taverns. Indeed, its imagery could have proved hard to distinguish by passersby on the road. Yet the fact that it is decorated on both sides suggests that it was not originally meant to be hung on a wall or door. The question of how it was presented to the public is difficult to answer, since the sign lacks any traces of how it was originally mounted. In addition to the issue of size and mount, the use of tinned iron as signboard material is rare; most were made of wood.

Although the use of tin is unusual for a sign, as an object made in Hartford County, it is a fitting material. By the late-18th century, western Connecticut had become the hub for the production and sale of tinned iron products in the United States.5 Peddlers working out of Berlin, Bristol and other towns traveled to Boston to receive shipments of tinplate from Pontypool, Wales, and supervised the transportation of the sheets inland to western Connecticut.6 At the turn of the century, the standard size for sheets of tinplate was 1½ by 1¼ inches, but tinplate also came in a variety of sizes, including 1½ by 1¾ inches, which appears to have been used in the production of the Lewis sign.7

Once brought to Connecticut, the sheets were often made into lanterns, coffee pots, trays and other household wares peddlers sold throughout New England. Unworked sheets could also be purchased. An 1815 inventory of the Pattison and Peck Store in Berlin reveals a stock of...
lead white and vermillion, and an additional layer, possibly composed of linseed oil and natural gum resin, that was used to bind the painted layers to the tin surface. Both the painted layers and the metal substrate have sustained damage. At some point after it was painted, the sign’s upper edge was bent backwards from Side One toward Side Two into a shallow lip, and 10 nail holes, again driven from Side One to Side Two, were created using square-headed nails. These physical blows damaged the painted surface, causing deterioration of the paint and tinned coating, and allowing the exposed iron to oxidize. In order to arrest the paint loss and secure the painted layers to the tin surface, before cleaning, I applied a binding consolidant to areas where the paint layers were broken and fragile. With this complete, I was able to begin cleaning the painted surface. Relative ely little dirt came up from Side Two, while Side One was extremely dirty. As I cleaned, it became apparent that the blackened background was originally the same dark red as Side Two. A chelating solution was applied to the rusted areas to remove corrosion and stop further oxidation, followed by a protective coating to the exposed metal. Exposed areas were then inpainted, to protect the tin layer and reduce distracting reflective surfaces.

Although the sign fits comfortably into the context of early 19th-century New England, exact dating was still being explored as this paper was prepared. Initial X-ray fluorescence spectroscopy, which identifies the chemical elements in an object, revealed the presence of lead, a material thought not to have been introduced into the tinning process until the third decade of the 19th century.\(^8\) Further analysis, including use of a scanning electron microscope, will help determine the elemental composition of the sign and establish more precisely what materials were used and how that may affect the production date. Damage sustained by the sign suggests it may have lived more than one life. For some period of time, Side One appears to have been exposed to the elements, while Side Two was protected, presumably sealed against a wall. Perhaps the sign was nailed as decoration to a door or left hanging on a beam in an attic or barn as many other signs were. Many of these questions may remain unanswerable, but there is no question that the sign is part of a rich history of Connecticut tinware production and decoration, tavern keeping, and the early system of New England peddlers and merchants.

The Lenett Fellowship has proved to be an invaluable experience, one that has piqued my interest in the technological innovations that go hand in hand with artistic production in the United States. I look forward to conducting further research in Hartford County on local history and the sign’s provenance before my talk in May, and hope to find answers to some of the many questions the sign prompts.\(^9\)

---
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